

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,953	02/27/2002	Robert M. Sheppard	2002B009	8350
23455	7590 06/28/2004		EXAMINER	
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY			AHMED, SHEEBA	
P O BOX 214 BAYTOWN	TX 77522-2149		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>⊮</i> <b>3</b>			1/0
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/083,953	SHEPPARD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sheeba Ahmed	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-23 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at a subject to perfect to a subject to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	ndrawn from consideration.  Ind/or election requirement.  miner.	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	orrection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) I/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	.,,

Application/Control Number: 10/083,953 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Amendments to claims 1-3 and 15 have been entered in the above-identified application. Claims 1-23 are pending of which claims 1-15 are now consideration.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's traverse the restriction requirement on the grounds that a search of all the claims would not be unduly burdensome to the Examiner given that the product claims under examination encompass both the co-extruded and laminated structures. However, as previously pointed out, the inventions of Group I and II are distinct given that the multilayer polymer film structure can be made by adhesively bonding the base, core and skin layers rather than co-extruding these and since these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. However, pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86), claims directed to the process of making or using a patentable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are subject to being rejoined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,953 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites a cavitated layer wherein the cavitated layer "is cavitated to a degree sufficient to limit viewing of the base layer there through". It is unclear what is meant by such a limitation from both the claims and the Specification. The phrase "a degree sufficient to limit viewing of the base layer there through" is indefinite given that the claim fails to state the function which is to be achieved and more than one effect can be implied from the specification or the relevant art. Claims 2-14 are dependent on claim 1 and hence incorporate all limitations of claim 1. Appropriate correction or clarification is required.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejection of claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 10, 13, and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rua, Jr. et al. (US 5,544,881), the rejection of claims 1, 7-10, 13, and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Silverschotz et al. (US 5,542,710), the rejection of claims 3-6, 11, 12, and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rua, Jr. et al. (US 5,544,881) in view of Kong et al. (US 6,500,533 B1), and the rejection of claims 2-6, 11, 12, and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverschotz et al. (US 5,542,710) in view of Kong et al. (US 6,500,533 B1) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/083,953

**Art Unit: 1773** 

Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection, as stated above, has been made.

# **Conclusion**

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on (571)272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheeba Ahmed Art Unit 1773

June 22, 2004